# University of Essex

Online

Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 250386
Details of any extensions etc:	**Submission Attempt:** First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments
Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%)	**Excellent**	Excellent knowledge and understanding of the topic reflecting current and contemporary 'real world' discussion regarding fake news and its impact. The Literature is well researched, although it may have been beneficial to draw out a slightly more lengthy discussion regarding potential gaps reflecting current research related to the topic.
Criticality (30%)	**Outstanding**	An outstanding critical tone regarding the assignment which flowed with ease and seamlessly connected from one part of the discussion to another. The context was extremely fluid and drew through research/theory to practice, and the impacts of these practices in fake news on social media platforms regarding macro political influences for example.
Use of relevant sources (20%	**Excellent**	Excellent evidence of extensive research resources that are effectively used to underpin your discussion. There is good and current cross-sectional research used to support the Literature Review.
Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance) (10%)	**Outstanding**	Outstanding presentation of the Literature Review document. The content is clearly laid out from an academic perspective and is professionally presented to a very high standard. It is clear that planning and good time management have been used here. There is a good mix of contextual content appropriately supported with visual content. The contents page also makes it clear to the viewer what to find where in the content.
Academic integrity (10%)	**Excellent**	The assignment is within word count, which is good practice. When using speech marks, this indicates that a quotation is taking place and so cite immediately after the quotations [P. 5 of the assignment]. If these are not quotations don't worry about applying speech marks to highlight the phrases, just use them without speech marks. Very strong, fluid academic writing style in evidence, with the majority of academic conventions followed.
Overall		
Positives:		

- Excellent and at times outstanding outcome regarding the Literature Review
- Very strong and concise critical writing style in evidence
- Excellent application of research resources to the text

Points for development:
- Just as few minor observations in 'Academic integrity'
- Gaps in research could have been drawn out more explicitly
- Continue with research to further develop breadth of knowledge for future summative